

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

				ATTORNEY	DOCKET NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R	ALTONNET	0001121
09/663,340	09/15/00	HAMADA	K	PM 271420	
			7	EXAMINER	
O00909 PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP 1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD		MMC2/1107	MUNITE ART I		PER NUMBER
1600 TYSONS MCLEAN VA 22	HUULEVARD		2826 DATE MA		701

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95) *U \$ GPO 2000-473-000/44602 1- File Copy

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/663,340	HAMADA, KIMIMORI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Johannes P Mondt	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirderiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	u - to the modite is			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	l accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.			
A malicant move not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are require					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		> c 440(a) (d) or (f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. 9 (19(a)-(u) or (i).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	Amplication No			
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in	ived in this National State			
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies n	lot received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c	lomestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign langu	age provisional application has	s been received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	-948) 5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			

- j

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The examiner has considered the items listed in the Information Disclosure Statement of Paper No. 3.

Specification

The phrase "ladder-like" in the abstract (line 8) and everywhere in the "Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiment" (e.g., page 5) is vague and should be replaced by the phrase "ladder-shaped". The phrase "formed at a less depth" in the abstract should be replaced by "formed at a shallower depth".

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1-12 are objected to because of the following informalities:

 With regard to claim 1:
- (a) From the specification it is clear that the surface referred to on line 10 of page 10 on which this claim is formulated is *that* surface (out of the two major surfaces) of the body region that is *not* shared with the semiconductor substrate referred to on line 4 on the same page. However, nothing in the claim language clarifies this interpretation of the term "surface". The resulting lack of clarity can be removed by adding to the phrase "forming a body region of a first conductivity type formed in a semiconductor substrate" (lines 3 and 4 of page 10) the following text: "and having a major surface opposite to the surface shared between the semiconductor substrate and the body region"; said lack of

. . .

Art Unit: 2826

clarity can then be removed by referring subsequently to "said major surface" instead of "a surface of the body region" on line 10. Appropriate correction is required.

- (b) The phrase "the gate-insulating films" on line 11 is lacking antecedent basis and should be replaced by "films bordering and insulating the trench gates".
- (c) The term "sandwiching the trench gates" needs to be made clearer. This could be done in accordance with the specification by replacing said term with "flanking the trench gates on both of their sides and being in contact with said trench gates through said films".

Claims 2-12 are objected to because of their dependence, directly or indirectly, on claim that is objected to, namely claim 1.

2. Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, and 15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(b), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. In particular, claim 1 proscribes the first semiconductor regions to sandwich the trench gates via the gate-insulating films, while in spite of the dependence of claim 2 on claim 1 the entire further limitation of claim 2 proscribes a portion of the first semiconductor regions to sandwich the trench gates via the gate-insulating films, which proscription is thus automatically met through the dependence of claim 2 on claim 1. Applicant is required to cancel claim 2 for the reason given above. Claims 3, 6, 7, 10, and 11 depend on claim 2 and thus should also be canceled. Claim 14 is objected to because its dependence on claim 13 already implies the proscription that forms the sole

Art Unit: 2826

further limitation of claim 14, in full analogy with the objection against claim 2 given above. Claim 15 is objected to because it depends on claim 14.

- Claims 13-18 are objected to because of the following informalities: with regard 3. to claim 13:
- (a) From the specification it is clear that the surface referred to on line 25 of page 12 on which this claim is formulated is that surface (out of the two major surfaces) of the body region that is not shared with the semiconductor substrate referred to on line 19 on the same page. However, nothing in the claim language clarifies this interpretation of the term "surface". The resulting lack of clarity can be removed by adding to the phrase "forming a body region of a first conductivity type formed in a semiconductor substrate" (lines 18 and 19 of page 12) the following text: "and having a major surface opposite to the surface shared between the semiconductor substrate and the body region"; said lack of clarity can then be removed by referring subsequently to "said major surface" instead of "a surface of the body region" on line 25, and likewise, on page 13, line 2, by referring to "said major surface" instead of "the surface". Appropriate correction is required.
- (b) The expression "sandwich the trench gates" should be replaced by "flank the trench gates on both of their sides and are in contact with said trench gates through gate-insulating films". Appropriate action is required.

Claims 14-18 are objected to because of their dependence on a claim that is objected to, namely claim 13.

Art Unit: 2826

4. Claims 4 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: "ladder-like" should be changed to "ladder-shaped" to avoid indefinite language. See also the objection against the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-14 contain allowable subject matter. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Unlike the present invention by Applicant, Williams does not teach the areas of the semiconductor regions between the trench gates to be deeper in the immediate vicinity of the trench gates and shallower in the area farther away from the trench gates so as to further reduce parasitic transistor operation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johannes P Mondt whose telephone number is 703-306-0531. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/663,340 Page 6

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JPM November 5, 2001

> Rathen Elda Prints y Estation